



Filter Design Techniques

- Filter
 - Filter is a system that passes certain frequency components and totally rejects all others
- Stages of the design filter
 - Specification of the desired properties of the system
 - Approximation of the specification using a causal discrete-time system
 - Realization of the system





Review of discretetime systems

Frequency response :

- periodic : period = 2π
- for a real impulse response h[k] Magnitude response $|H(e^{j\omega})|$ is even function Phase response $\angle H(e^{j\omega})$ is odd function
- example :





Filter Design-FIR (cwliu@twins.ee.nctu.edu.tw)

3



Review of discretetime systems

"FIR filters" (finite impulse response):

$$H(z) = \frac{B(z)}{z^{N}} = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_N z^{-N}$$

- "Moving average filters" (MA filters)
- N poles at the origin z=0 (hence guaranteed stability)
- N zeros (zeros of B(z)), "all zero" filters
- corresponds to difference equation

 $y[k] = b_0 u[k] + b_1 u[k-1] + ... + b_N u[k-N]$

• impulse response

 $h[0] = b_0, h[1] = b_1, ..., h[N] = b_N, h[N + 1] = 0, ...$







Linear Phase FIR Filters







Linear Phase FIR Filters

 Causal linear-phase filters = non-causal zero-phase + delay

example: symmetric impulse response & N even



$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} h[k]e^{-j\omega k} = \dots = e^{-j\omega L} \sum_{k=0}^{L} a_k \cos(\omega k)$$

= i.e. causal implementation of zero-phase filter, by introducing (group) delay $z^{-L}\Big|_{z=e^{j\omega}} = e^{-j\omega L}$

Filter Design-FIR (cwliu@twins.ee.nctu.edu.tw)



6





Linear Phase FIR Filters

Type-1 N=2L=even symmetric h[k]=h[N-k]	Type-2 N=2L+1=odd symmetric h[k]=h[N-k]	Type-3 N=2L=even anti-symmetric h[k]=-h[N-k]	Type-4 N=2L+1=odd anti-symmetric h[k]=-h[N-k]
$e^{-j\omega N/2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} a_k \cos(\omega k)$	$e^{-j\omega N/2}\cos(\frac{\omega}{2})\sum_{k=0}^{L}a_{k}\cos(\omega k)$	$je^{-j\omega N/2}\sin(\omega)\sum_{k=0}^{L-1}a_k\cos(\omega k)$	$j.e^{-j\omega N/2}\sin(\frac{\omega}{2})\sum_{k=0}^{L}a_k\cos(\omega k)$
	zero at ω = π	zero at $^{\omega=0,\pi}$	zero at $\omega=0$
LP/HP/BP	LP/BP		HP







+

b4

+

b3,

Linear Phase FIR Filters

u[k]

+

bo

Δ

b1

Δ

b2

T

efficient direct-form realization.
 <u>example</u>;



y[k]













Filter Design Problem

- Design of filters is a problem of function approximation
- For FIR filter, it implies polynomial approximation
- For IIR filter, it implies approximation by a rational function of z









(I) Weighted Least Squares Design :

- select one of the basic forms that yield linear phase e.g. Type-1 $H(e^{j\omega}) = e^{-j\omega N/2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} a_k \cos(\omega k) = e^{-j\omega N/2} A(\omega)$
- specify desired frequency response (LP,HP,BP,...)

$$H_d(\omega) = e^{-j\omega N/2} A_d(\omega)$$

• optimization criterion is $\min_{a_0,\dots,a_L} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} W(\omega) |H(e^{j\omega}) - H_d(\omega)|^2 d\omega = \min_{a_0,\dots,a_L} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} W(\omega) |A(\omega) - A_d(\omega)|^2 d\omega$ where $W(\omega) = 0$ is evaluation function.



Filter Design-FIR (cwliu@twins.ee.nctu.edu.tw)







Filter Design by Optimization

• ...this is equivalent to $\min_{x} \{x^{T}Qx - 2x^{T}p + \mu\}$ $x^{T} = \begin{bmatrix}a_{0} & a_{1} & \dots & a_{L}\end{bmatrix}$ $Q = \int_{0}^{\pi} W(\omega)c(\omega)c^{T}(\omega)d\omega$ $p = \int_{0}^{\pi} W(\omega)A_{d}(\omega)c(\omega)d\omega$ $c^{T}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix}1 & \cos(\omega) & \dots & \cos(L\omega)\end{bmatrix}$ $\mu = \dots$

=standard 'Quadratic Optimization' problem

 $x_{OPT} = Q^{-1}p$



Filter Design-FIR (cwliu@twins.ee.nctu.edu.tw)





Filter Design by Optimization

• a simpler problem is obtained by replacing the F(..) by...

$$\underline{F}(a_0,...,a_L) = \sum_i W(\omega_i) |A(\omega_i) - A_d(\omega_i)|^2 = \sum_i W(\omega_i) \left\{ c^T(\omega_i) \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_L \end{bmatrix} - A_d(\omega_i) \right\}^2$$

where the w_i's are a set of n sample frequencies The quadratic optimization problem is then equivalent to a least-squares problem

$$\min_{x} \left\| \underline{A}x - \underline{b} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \min_{x} \left\{ x^{T} \underbrace{\underline{A}}_{\sum_{i} W(\omega_{i})c(\omega_{i})c^{T}(\omega_{i})} x - 2x^{T} \underbrace{\underline{A}}_{\sum_{i} \dots}^{T} \underline{b} + \underbrace{\underline{b}}_{\sum_{i} \dots}^{T} \underline{b} \right\}$$

$$\chi_{x,x} = (\underline{A}^{T} \underline{A})^{-1} \underline{A}^{T} \underline{b} \quad \text{Compare to p.12}$$

+++ : simple

-- : unpredictable behavior in between sample frequencies.

Filter Design-FIR (cwliu@twins.ee.nctu.edu.tw)





• ...then all this is often supplemented with additional constraints

Example: Low-pass (LP) design (continued) pass-band ripple control :

 $|A(\omega) - 1| \le \delta_{P}, |\omega| < \omega_{P}$ (δ_{P} is pass - band ripple)

stop-band ripple control :

 $|A(\omega)| \le \delta_{s}, \omega_{s} \le |\omega| \le \pi$ (δ_{s} is stop - band ripple)







Filter Design by Optimization

Example: Low-pass (LP) design (continued) a realistic way to implement these constraints, is to impose the constraints (only) on a set of sample frequencies $\omega_{P1}, \omega_{P2}, ..., \omega_{Pm}$ in the pass-band

and $\omega_{s_1}, \omega_{s_2}, ..., \omega_{s_n}$ in the stop-band

The resulting optimization problem is :

minimize: $F(a_0, \dots, a_L) =$

$$x^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} & a_{1} & \dots & a_{L} \end{bmatrix}$$

subject to $A_{P}x \leq b_{P}$ (pass-band constraints)

 $A_{s}x \leq b_{s}$ (stop-band constraints)

= `Quadratic Linear Programming' problem







(II) `Minimax' Design :

- select one of the basic forms that yield linear phase e.g. Type-1 $H(e^{j\omega}) = e^{-j\omega N/2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} a[k] \cos(\omega k) = e^{-j\omega N/2} A(\omega)$
- specify desired frequency response (LP,HP,BP,...) $H_d(\omega) = e^{-j\omega N/2} A_d(\omega)$
- optimization criterion is

 $\min_{a_0,\dots,a_L} \max_{0 \le \omega \le \pi} W(\omega) \Big| H(e^{j\omega}) - H_d(\omega) \Big| = \min_{a_0,\dots,a_L} \max_{0 \le \omega \le \pi} W(\omega) \Big| A(\omega) - A_d(\omega) \Big|$

where $W(\omega) \ge 0$ is a weighting function









Filter Design by Optimization

• Conclusion:

(I) weighted least squares design

(II) minimax design

provide general `framework', procedures to translate filter design problems into standard optimization problems

- In practice (and in textbooks): emphasis on specific (ad-hoc) procedures :
 - filter design based on 'windows'
 - equi-ripple design









Filter Design using 'Windows'

Example : Low-pass filter design

• ideal low-pass filter is

$$H_{d}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & |\omega| < \omega_{C} \\ 0 & \omega_{C} < |\omega| < \pi \end{cases}$$

• hence ideal time-domain impulse response is

$$h_d[k] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} H_d(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega k} d\omega = \dots = \alpha \frac{\sin(\omega_c k)}{\omega_c k}$$

- truncate $h_d[k]$ to N+1 samples : $h[k] = \begin{cases} h_d[k] & -N / 2 < k < N / 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- add (group) delay to turn into causal filter





Filter Design using 'Windows'

Example : Low-pass filter design (continued)

- note : it can be shown that time-domain truncation corresponds to solving a weighted least-squares optimization problem with the given H_d , and weighting function $W(\omega) = 1$
- truncation corresponds to applying a 'rectangular window' :

$$h[k] = h_d[k]w[k]$$

$$w[k] = \begin{cases} 1 & -N/2 < k < N/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- +++: simple procedure (also for HP,BP,...)
- ---: truncation in the time-domain results in 'Gibbs effect' in the frequency domain, i.e. large ripple in pass-band and stop-band, which cannot be reduced by increasing the filter order N.





Filter Design using 'Windows'

Remedy : apply windows other than rectangular window:

 time-domain multiplication with a window function w[k] corresponds to frequency domain convolution with W(z):

 $h[k] = h_d[k]w[k]$

 $H(z) = H_d(z) * W(z)$

- candidate windows : Han, Hamming, Blackman, Kaiser,.... (see textbooks)
- window choice/design = trade-off between side-lobe levels (define peak pass-/stop-band ripple) and width main-lobe (defines transition bandwidth)







Windowing Effect

















• Starting point is minimax criterion, e.g.

 $\min_{a_0,\dots,a_L} \max_{0 \le \omega \le \pi} W(\omega) |A(\omega) - A_d(\omega)| = \min_{a_0,\dots,a_L} \max_{0 \le \omega \le \pi} |E(\omega)|$

 Based on theory of Chebyshev approximation and the 'alternation theorem', which (roughly) states that the optimal a_i's are such that the 'max' (maximum weighted approximation error) is obtained at L+2 extremal frequencies...

 $\max_{0 \le \omega \le \pi} |E(\omega)| = |E(\omega_i)| \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., L+2$

...that hence will exhibit the same maximum ripple ('equiripple')

- Iterative procedure for computing extremal frequencies, etc. (<u>Remez</u> exchange algorithm, <u>Parks-McClellan</u> algorithm)
- Very flexible, etc., available in many software packages
- Details omitted here (see textbooks)

24









- FIR Filter design abundantly available in commercial software
- Matlab:

b=fir1(n,Wn,type,window), windowed linear-phase FIR design, n is filter order, Wn defines band-edges, type is `high',`stop',...

b=fir2(n,f,m,window), windowed FIR design based on inverse Fourier transform with frequency points f and corresponding magnitude response m

b=remez(n,f,m), equiripple linear-phase FIR design with Parks-McClellan (Remez exchange) algorithm

